

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/468,668	12/21/1999	JAMES A. KWEEDER	30-4874	3902
759	90 10/25/2002			
Margaret S. Millikin HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC 15801 WOODS EDGE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			MADSEN, ROBERT A	
COLONIAL HE	EIGHTS, VA 23834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	1 1
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2002 / O	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		16-10			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/468,668	KWEEDER ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Madsen	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the p FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	):				
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) objected to: 14-17.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-13.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		STEVE WEINSTEIN PRIMARY EXAMINER			
		TO STATE OF			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment there independent claims 1 and 7 includes a new tation "wherein essentially the entire liquid volume in said prill head is swept by an agitator" did not appear previously in the claims and would require further consideration and/or search.